House Management Committee Self-Assessment Checklist

BACKGROUND

People who join Management Committees do so for a variety of reasons. They have a desire to give back to the communities in which they live, they believe that their knowledge, skills and experience can add value to the House they join and they seek to make a difference in an area of special interest, to name just a few. The commitment of time and energy that they make can be considerable and sometimes results in time away from families and friends.

It is understandable, therefore, that members of Management Committees like to know how they are performing, both as a Committee and as individuals. Are they making a difference? Are they dealing with the challenges they identified when they joined the House Management Committee and are they achieving the outcomes laid out in the strategic plan? Can things be done better?

A Management Committee self-assessment is about the committee assessing itself. It is not a performance evaluation or professional review of the House Co-ordinator or Manager, the organisation as a whole or individual members of the committee. It represents an opportunity for the Management Committee to look at itself and ask the question – "how are we doing as a Management Committee?"

At the heart of the process is an evaluation of the Management Committee as a team. It can also be worthwhile for each committee member to assess their own performance as an individual, contributing to the team.

To maintain an effective Management Committee, the Committee should look at itself and ask the question – "how are we doing as a Management Committee?" A Management Committee self-assessment is not a one-off activity. It should be a regular process, leading to ways to build a stronger Management Committee that works even more effectively.

There are no hard and fast rules on how often to conduct a self-assessment. It could be annually or it may, for example, make sense to embark on the process every two or three years, perhaps as leadership of the Management Committee changes. You can always "test the water" periodically by asking committee members to rate the effectiveness of the Management Committee on a scale of 1 to 5. The responses around the table can be very interesting and help you to decide whether it's time for a formal self-assessment.

The Management Committee can meet to review their responsibilities and practices and assess how well the Committee is doing. Sometimes a questionnaire survey can help focus on the important points. The real value comes from the productive discussions that take place among Committee members, as their responses to questions are shared and justified. From this, the Committee may come up with an action plan to deal with any changes that have been identified.

House Management Committee Self-Assessment Checklist

ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

Here is assessment checklist covering the key areas that show a Management Committee is performing well. You can use the checklist to assess how you think your Committee is doing. Once all members of the Committee have completed the checklist, the Committee can discuss the results and identify any areas where changes are needed.

Apply a rating from 1 to 5 to the following questions, where (1) means strongly disagree and (5) means strongly agree. At the end of the checklist there is space for any extra notes you might want to make.

We are committed to and take an active leadership role in developing our community				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
We develop, regu	larly update and	follow our strategic	plan and use it to	guide our decisions
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
The Managemen our major progra		esults oriented and a	lways evaluates th	ne performance of
_		csults oriented and and and and and and and and and an	lways evaluates th	(5) Strongly agree
our major progra	ms and services (2) Partly agree		(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree



Our meetings pro	vide for constru	ctive and respectful d	discussion of issue	es
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
Committee members put the interests of the House above all else in making decisions				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
We manage and track our financial position responsibly and frequently – we have a budget and our financial reports always track our spending against our budget				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
We have friendly and co-operative external relationships with a wide range of groups and organisations and seek regular feedback from them				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
We seek out and welcome as many people from our community as possible				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
We have codes of conduct and policies and procedures that guide Committee, staff, volunteers and users of the House				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree



We hehave and or	ommunicate one	nly and respectfully	with each other	
we venuve and co	эттинисате оре	ніу ини гезресіјину	wan each other	
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
We have construct the House	ctive, productive	and supportive relat	ionships with all v	who work in or use
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
All Management	Committee, stafj	f and volunteers hav	e up-to-date positi	on descriptions
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
We develop, regu	larly update and	follow our policies of	and procedures	
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
There are clear, written definitions of delegated authority				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
There are regular staff meetings				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree



There are regular staff development and performance reviews which always include discussion about each staff member's current position description and training needs.				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
The President and times outside com		or communicate regu	llarly and have st	ructured meeting
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
We offer training and support to all our Management Committee, staff and volunteers to be able to do their best				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
The Management Committee upholds the highest standards of conduct at all times				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
The governance of our House is principled, transparent, accountable and effective				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree
We have fun and enjoy our work and celebrate our achievements				
(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Partly agree	(3) More or less agree	(4) Mostly agree	(5) Strongly agree



YOUR ASSESSMENT NOTES			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		